

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
2 United States Attorney
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

13 Plaintiff,)

14 v.)

15 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,)
16 JAMES VALENTE,)
17 GREG ANDERSON, and)
18 REMI KORCHEMNY.)

18 Defendants.)
19 _____)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CR No.:

VIOLATIONS: 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and
841(b)(1)(D)--Conspiracy to Distribute and
Possess With Intent to Distribute Anabolic
Steroids; 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and
(b)(1)(D)--Possession With Intent To
Distribute Anabolic Steroids; 18 U.S.C. §
371 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a), 331(k), and
333(a)(2)--Conspiracy to Defraud the United
States Through The Introduction and
Delivery of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
To Defraud and Mislead, And The
Misbranding Of Drugs Held For Sale With
Intent To Defraud And Mislead; 21 U.S.C.
§§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2)--Introduction and
Delivery of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
To Defraud And Mislead; 21 U.S.C. §§
331(k) and 333(a)(2)--Misbranding Of
Drugs Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud
And Mislead; 21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1)--
Possession With Intent To Distribute Human
Growth Hormone; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)--
Conspiracy To Launder Monetary
Instruments; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(b)(i)--
Money Laundering; 18 U.S.C. § 2--Aiding
and Abetting and Willfully Causing; 18
U.S.C. § 982 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 853 and 881--
Criminal Forfeiture

SAN FRANCISCO VENUE

INDICTMENT

INDICTMENT

1 The Grand Jury charges:

2 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

3 At all times relevant to this Indictment:

4 1. The Bay Area Lab Co-Operative ("Balco") was a blood-testing laboratory located in
5 Burlingame, California.

6 2. SNAC System, Incorporated ("SNAC") was a nutritional supplement company
7 operated by Victor Conte, Jr. out of Balco's office space in Burlingame, California.

8 3. Defendant Victor Conte, Jr. ("Conte") was the president and chief executive officer of
9 Balco. While operating Balco, Conte and others conspired to distribute performance-enhancing
10 drugs, including anabolic steroids, human growth hormone ("HGH"), erythropoietin ("EPO"),
11 modafinil, and various other prescription drugs, to dozens of professional athletes.

12 4. Defendant James Valente ("Valente") was the vice-president of Balco. In his role as
13 vice-president, Valente aided Conte in the distribution of performance-enhancing drugs to
14 professional athletes.

15 5. Defendant Greg Anderson ("Anderson") was a personal trainer in the Burlingame area
16 who purchased performance-enhancing drugs from Balco and distributed them to professional
17 athletes.

18 6. Defendant Remi Korchemny ("Korchemny") was a track coach working in the San
19 Francisco Bay Area who acquired performance-enhancing drugs from Conte and provided them
20 to track athletes.

21 7. Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), drugs were defined as
22 articles intended for use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in man (21
23 U.S.C. §321(g)(1)(B)); articles intended to affect the structure or function of the body of man (21
24 U.S.C. §321(g)(1)(C)); and articles intended for use as components of other drugs (21 U.S.C.
25 § 321(g)(1)(D)). A drug intended for use in man which, because of its toxicity, or other
26 potentiality for harmful effect, or the method of its use, or the collateral measures necessary to its
27 use, was not safe for use except under the supervision of a practitioner licensed by law to
28 administer such drug; and a drug which was limited by an approved application under 21 U.S.C.

1 § 355 to use under the professional supervision of a practitioner licensed by law to administer
2 such drug, could only be dispensed by a practitioner licensed by law pursuant to a lawful
3 prescription. 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1). These drugs were commonly known as "prescription
4 drugs."

5 8. Under the FDCA, every person upon first engaging in the manufacture, preparation,
6 propagation, compounding, or processing of drugs in any establishment he or she owned or
7 operated was required to immediately register his or her name, places of business, and all such
8 establishments. 21 U.S.C. § 360(c). The terms "manufacture, preparation, propagation,
9 compounding, or processing" included repackaging or otherwise changing the container,
10 wrapper, or labeling of any drug in furtherance of the distribution of the drug from the original
11 place of manufacture to the person who makes the final sale to the ultimate consumer or user. 21
12 U.S.C. § 360(a)(1).

13 9. The term "labeling" was defined as all labels and other printed or graphic matter upon
14 any article or any of its containers or wrappers, or accompanying such article. 21 U.S.C.
15 § 321(m).

16 10. A drug was misbranded if, among other things:

- 17 a. its labeling was false or misleading in any particular (21 U.S.C. 352(a));
- 18 b. the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and
19 place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor (21 U.S.C. § 352(b));
- 20 c. the labeling on the drug did not bear adequate directions for use (21 U.S.C. §
21 352(f)(1));
- 22 d. the labeling on the drug did not bear such adequate warnings against use in
23 those pathological conditions, and by children where its use may be dangerous to
24 health, and against unsafe dosage and methods and duration of administration and
25 application, in such manner and form, as were necessary for the protection of
26 users (21 U.S.C. § 352(f)(2));
- 27 e. the drug was dangerous to health when used in the dosage and manner and with
28 the frequency and duration prescribed, recommended, and suggested in the

1 labeling thereof (21 U.S.C. § 352(j)); or
2 f. the drug was a prescription drug dispensed without a prescription (21 U.S.C.
3 § 353(b)(1)).

4 11. The term "human growth hormone" meant somatrem, somatropin, or an analogue of
5 either somatrem or somatropin. 21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(4). Somatropin was a synthetic or naturally
6 occurring growth hormone from the human pituitary gland. Somatrem was an analogue of
7 somatropin.

8 12. The term "anabolic steroid" meant any drug or hormonal substance, chemically and
9 pharmacologically related to testosterone (other than estrogens, progestins, and corticosteroids)
10 that promoted muscle growth, and included testosterone and nandrolone and their analogues. 21
11 U.S.C. § 802(41)(A).

12 COUNT ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(1)(D) -- Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess
13 With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids)

14 13. Paragraphs One Through Twelve are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference
15 as if set forth in full herein.

16 14. On or about and between December 1, 2001, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
17 County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants

18 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
19 JAMES VALENTE,
GREG ANDERSON, and
REMI KORCHEMNY,

20 and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
21 distribute and possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled substance, to wit: the
22 anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and
23 841(b)(1)(D).

24 OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY

25 15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to attain its ends, the defendants committed the
26 following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:

27 a. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
28 Valente and Korchemny distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a track and field athlete.

1 b. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
2 Valente and Anderson distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional baseball
3 player.

4 c. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
5 Valente distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional football player.

6 d. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
7 Valente and Korchemny distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a track and field athlete.

8 e. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente
9 and Anderson distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional baseball player.

10 f. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente
11 distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional football player.

12 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

13 COUNT TWO: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
14 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

15 16. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
16 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE,
JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

17
18 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
19 substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
20 Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

21 COUNT THREE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
22 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

23 17. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

25
26
27 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
28 substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,

1 Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

2 COUNT FOUR: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
3 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

4 18. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
5 California, the defendants

6 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
7 JAMES VALENTE

8 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
9 substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
10 Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

11 COUNT FIVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
12 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

13 19. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
14 California, the defendants

15 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
16 JAMES VALENTE, and
17 REMI KORCHEMNY

18 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
19 substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
20 Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

21 COUNT SIX: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
22 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

23 20. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24 California, the defendants

25 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
26 JAMES VALENTE, and
27 GREG ANDERSON

28 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

//
//

1 COUNT SEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Possession With Intent
2 To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)

3 21. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

5 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
6 JAMES VALENTE, and
7 GREG ANDERSON

8 did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
9 substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
10 Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

11 COUNT EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. § 371--Conspiracy To Defraud The United States)

12 22. Paragraphs One Through Twelve are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference
13 as if set forth in full herein.

14 CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD

15 23. On or about and between September 1, 2000, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
16 County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants

17 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
18 JAMES VALENTE,
19 GREG ANDERSON, and
20 REMI KORCHEMNY,

21 and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
22 defraud the United States, that is the defendants conspired to:

- 23 a. introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate commerce, with the intent
24 to defraud and mislead, misbranded drugs, in violation of Title 21, United
25 States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2); and
- 26 b. misbrand a drug while it was held for sale, after receiving it in interstate
27 commerce, with the intent to defraud and mislead in violation of Title 21,
28 United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2).

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

29 24. It was part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, Anderson,
30 and Korchemny knowingly distributed to professional athletes an anabolic steroid in the form of
31 a testosterone-based cream, a/k/a "The Cream," without adequate directions regarding its use in
32 its labeling. The anabolic steroid had been mixed with an epitestosterone cream prior to its

1 distribution to athletes specifically with the intention of balancing the user's
2 testosterone/epitestosterone ratio, thus concealing the individual athlete's elevated testosterone
3 level from drug testing.

4 25. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente,
5 Anderson, and Korchemny knowingly distributed to athletes a liquid drug "The Clear," a/k/a
6 tetrahydrogestrinone, a/k/a "THG," without adequate directions regarding its use in its labeling,
7 and recommended the substance to athletes as a "designer steroid," or "steroid-like derivative,"
8 which would provide "steroid-like" effects without causing the athlete to test positive for
9 steroids.

10 26. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente,
11 Anderson, and Korchemny knowingly distributed to athletes a prescription drug, human growth
12 hormone a/k/a "HGH", without a valid prescription and for a purpose other than treatment of a
13 disease or recognized medical condition for which its use had been authorized by the Secretary of
14 the Department of Health and Human Services.

15 27. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, and
16 Korchemny knowingly dispensed to athletes a prescription drug, erythropoietin a/k/a "EPO"
17 without a valid prescription and for the purpose of affecting the function of the body, to wit:
18 increasing the red cell count in the bloodstream.

19 28. It was further a part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, and
20 Korchemny knowingly dispensed to athletes the prescription drug modafinil without a valid
21 prescription and for the purpose of affecting the function of the body, to wit: increasing
22 wakefulness and the ability to focus and think clearly.

23 29. It was further a part of the conspiracy to defraud that in connection with the
24 distribution and dispensing of drugs described in paragraphs 24-28 above, the defendants Conte,
25 Valente, Anderson, and Korchemny attempted to conceal their illegal activities from the Food
26 and Drug Administration and law enforcement through the following techniques:

- 27 a. using false names on the mailing labels of packages containing drugs;
28 b. trafficking specifically in drugs which were either designed to avoid
detection as controlled substances or substances for which no accurate testing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- procedure existed;
- c. referring to drugs in correspondence and conversation by shorthand abbreviations and codes, such as "The Cream," "C," "The Clear," "Liquid," "L," "G," "E," "P," and "Vitamin S;"
- d. dispensing "The Cream" and "The Clear" in plain unlabeled plastic bottles without any directions for the use of the product, or information on the nature of the substance;
- e. informing the athletes receiving the substances of the need to be careful and secretive regarding their use of the drugs;
- f. providing athletes with false cover stories regarding the nature of the drugs to provide to authorities; and
- g. entering into agreements with athletes by which athletes provided endorsements for ZMA, a nutritional supplement sold by Conte, in exchange for drugs, thus disguising a portion of the proceeds otherwise traceable to Conte.

OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY

30. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to attain its ends, the defendants committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:

- a. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente, and Korchemny distributed "Clear," "HGH," and "EPO" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- b. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente, and Korchemny distributed "Clear," "HGH," and "EPO" to a professional track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- c. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson distributed "HGH" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- d. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente, and Korchemny distributed "Cream" and "Clear" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- e. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente, and Anderson distributed "Cream" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.

- 1 f. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
2 Valente distributed "Cream" to a professional football player without a valid prescription from a
3 licensed practitioner.
- 4 g. On or about June 11, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
5 and Korchemny distributed modafinil to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from
6 a licensed practitioner.
- 7 h. On or about July 13, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
8 and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription
9 from a licensed practitioner.
- 10 i. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
11 Korchemny distributed "Cream" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
12 licensed practitioner.
- 13 j. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson
14 distributed "HGH" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription from a licensed
15 practitioner.
- 16 k. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
17 and Anderson distributed "Cream" and "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid
18 prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- 19 l. On or about January 24, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
20 Valente, and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid
21 prescription from a licensed practitioner.
- 22 m. On or about March 5, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
23 and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional football player without a valid prescription
24 from a licensed practitioner.
- 25 n. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
26 Korchemny distributed "EPO" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
27 licensed practitioner.
- 28 o. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente

1 distributed "Cream" to a professional football player without a valid prescription from a licensed
2 practitioner.

3 p. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente
4 distributed "Clear" and modafinil to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
5 licensed practitioner.

6 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

7 COUNT NINE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2-- Introduction/Delivery for
8 Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding
and Abetting)

9 31. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
10 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

11
12 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
13 commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
14 testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:

- 15 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
16 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
17 packer, or distributor; and
18 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
19 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
20 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
21 dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
22 administration or application.

23 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18,
24 United States Code, Section 2.

25 COUNT TEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Introduction/Delivery for
26 Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
27 Aiding and Abetting)

28 32. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
JAMES VALENTE

did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate

1 commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
2 testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:

- 3 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and
4 did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the
5 manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and
6 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
7 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
8 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
9 dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
10 administration or application.

11 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
12 18, United States Code, Section 2.

13 COUNT ELEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Introduction/Delivery
14 For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And
15 Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

16 33. On or about July 13, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
17 California, the defendants

18 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
19 JAMES VALENTE, and
20 GREG ANDERSON

21 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
22 commerce a drug they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydrogestrinone, a/k/a
23 "THG," which was misbranded:

- 24 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
25 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
26 packer, or distributor; and
27 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
28 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
18, United States Code, Section 2.

26 //
27 //
28 //

1 COUNT TWELVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2–
2 Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

3 34. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

5 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
6 JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

7 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
8 commerce a drug they described as “The Cream,” an anabolic steroid in the form of a
9 testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:

- 10 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
11 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
packer, or distributor; and
- 12 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
13 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
14 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

15 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
16 18, United States Code, Section 2.

17 COUNT THIRTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Introduction/Delivery
18 For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And
Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

19 35. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20 California, the defendants

21 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
22 JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

23 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
24 commerce a drug they described as “The Clear,” also known as tetrahydrogestrinone, a/k/a
25 “THG,” which was misbranded:

- 26 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
27 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
packer, or distributor; and
- 28 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in

1 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
2 dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

3 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
4 18, United States Code, Section 2.

5 COUNT FOURTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2–
6 Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

7 36. On or about January 24, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8 California, the defendants

9 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
10 JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

11 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
12 commerce a drug described as “The Clear,” also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a “THG,”
13 which was misbranded:

- 14 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
15 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
packer, or distributor; and
- 16 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
17 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
18 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

19 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
20 18, United States Code, Section 2.

21 COUNT FIFTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2–
22 Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

23 37. On or about March 5, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24 California, the defendants

25 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
26 JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

27 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
28 commerce a drug they described as “The Clear,” also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a

1 "THG," which was misbranded:

- 2 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
3 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
4 packer, or distributor; and
- 5 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
6 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

7 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
8 18, United States Code, Section 2.

9 COUNT SIXTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Introduction/Delivery
10 For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And
Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

11 38. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
12 California. the defendants

13 VICTOR CONTE, JR. and
14 JAMES VALENTE

15 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
16 commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
17 testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:

- 18 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
19 packer, or distributor; and
- 20 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
21 directions for use, and also did not bear adequate warnings against its use in
those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
22 dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
administration or application.

23 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
24 18, United States Code, Section 2.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 COUNT SEVENTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2–
2 Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs
3 With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

3 39. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

5 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
6 JAMES VALENTE

7 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
8 commerce a drug they described as “The Clear,” also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a THG,
9 which was misbranded:

- 10 a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did
11 not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,
12 packer, or distributor; and
13 b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
14 directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
15 those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
16 dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
17 administration or application.

18 All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
19 18, United States Code, Section 2.

20 COUNT EIGHTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
21 Of A Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
22 Aiding and Abetting)

23 40. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24 California, the defendants

25 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
26 JAMES VALENTE, and
27 REMI KORCHEMNY

28 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
they described as “The Clear,” also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a “THG,” to a consumer
without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2),
and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

1 COUNT NINETEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding Of
2 Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
3 Aiding and Abetting)

4 41. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
5 California, the defendants

6 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
7 JAMES VALENTE

8 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
9 growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
10 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
11 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
12 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
13 2.

14 COUNT TWENTY: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding Of a
15 Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
16 Aiding and Abetting)

17 42. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
18 California, the defendants

19 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
20 JAMES VALENTE, and
21 REMI KORCHEMNY

22 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically,
23 erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
24 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
25 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
26 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
27 2.
28

1 COUNT TWENTY-ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
2 Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And
Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

3 43. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

5 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
6 JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

7 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
8 they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydrogestrinone, a/k/a "THG," to a consumer
9 without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
10 the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
11 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2),
12 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

13 COUNT TWENTY-TWO: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
14 Of Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
Aiding and Abetting)

15 44. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
16 California, the defendants

17 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
18 JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

19 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically,
20 erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
21 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
22 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
23 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
24 2.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 COUNT TWENTY-THREE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
2 Of Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
Aiding and Abetting)

3 45. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
JAMES VALENTE

5
6 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
7 growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
8 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
9 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
10 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
11 2.

12 COUNT TWENTY-FOUR: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2)--Misbranding of Drugs While
13 Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead)

14 46. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
15 California, the defendant

GREG ANDERSON

16
17 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
18 growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
19 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
20 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
21 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2).

22 COUNT TWENTY-FIVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
23 Of Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And
Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

24 47. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
25 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

26
27 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
28 they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a "THG," to a consumer

1 without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
2 the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
3 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2),
4 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

5 COUNT TWENTY-SIX: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
6 Of Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
Aiding and Abetting)

7 48. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8 California, the defendants

9 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
10 JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

11 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
12 they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a testosterone-based cream, to a
13 consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which
14 resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce
15 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k)
16 and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

17 COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding
18 Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And
Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

19 49. On or about June 11, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20 California, the defendants

21 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
22 JAMES VALENTE, and
REMI KORCHEMNY

23 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, modafinil,
24 to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act
25 which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate
26 commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
27 Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

28

1 COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and 18 U.S.C. § 2–
2 Misbranding Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To
Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)

3 50. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
5 JAMES VALENTE, and
6 REMI KORCHEMNY

7 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
8 they described as “The Cream,” an anabolic steroid in the form of a testosterone-based cream, to
9 a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which
10 resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce
11 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k)
12 and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

13 COUNT TWENTY-NINE: (21 U.S.C. §§331(k) and 333(a)(2)--Misbranding of a Drug While
14 Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead)

15 51. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
16 California, the defendant

GREG ANDERSON

17 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
18 growth hormone, a/k/a “HGH,” to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
19 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
20 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
21 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2).

22 COUNT THIRTY: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding Of
23 A Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
24 Aiding and Abetting)

25 52. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
26 California, the defendants

VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
27 JAMES VALENTE, and
28 REMI KORCHEMNY

did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically,

1 erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
2 practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
3 sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
4 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
5 2.

6 COUNT THIRTY-ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2--Misbranding of
7 A Drug While Held for Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
Aiding and Abetting)

8 53. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
9 California, the defendants

10 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
11 JAMES VALENTE, and
GREG ANDERSON

12 did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, modafinil,
13 to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act
14 which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate
15 commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
16 Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

17 COUNT THIRTY-TWO: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1); 18 U.S.C. § 2-- Possession With Intent To
18 Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses;
Aiding and Abetting)

19 54. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20 California, the defendants

21 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
22 JAMES VALENTE

23 did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
24 humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
25 use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
26 of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1), and Title 18,
United States Code, Section 2.

1 COUNT THIRTY-THREE: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1); 18 U.S.C. § 2-- Possession With Intent To
2 Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses;
Aiding and Abetting)

3 55. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 California, the defendants

5 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
6 JAMES VALENTE

7 did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
8 humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
9 use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
10 of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1), and Title 18,
11 United States Code, Section 2.

12 COUNT THIRTY-FOUR: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1)-- Possession With Intent To Distribute
Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses)

13 56. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
14 California, the defendant

15 GREG ANDERSON

16 did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
17 humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
18 use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
19 of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1).

20 COUNT THIRTY-FIVE: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1)-- Possession With Intent to Distribute Human
21 Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses)

22 57. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District
23 of California, the defendant

24 GREG ANDERSON

25 did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
26 humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
27 use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
28 of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1).

1 COUNT THIRTY-SIX: (18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)--Conspiracy to Launder Monetary Instruments)

2 58. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts One
3 through Seven of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.

4 59. On or about and between December 1, 2001, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
5 County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants

6 VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
7 JAMES VALENTE, and
8 GREG ANDERSON,

9 and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
10 conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commerce which in fact involved the proceeds
11 of specified unlawful activity, that is, a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to
12 distribute anabolic steroids, a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
13 Section 846, knowing that the transactions were designed at least in part to conceal and disguise
14 the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of the specified
15 unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved in such financial transactions
16 represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States
17 Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

18 MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

19 60. The manner and means by which the conspiracy was carried out included the
20 following:

21 a. From on or about December 1, 2001 through September 3, 2003, the
22 defendants engaged in specified unlawful activity, to wit, conspiring to distribute and possess with
23 intent to distribute anabolic steroids, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.

24 b. In order to conceal the proceeds of their unlawful activity, the defendants: (i)
25 segregated proceeds derived from the sale of anabolic steroids from normal business proceeds by
26 placing the criminal proceeds into a personal bank account; and (ii) used a third party to negotiate
27 checks written as payment for the purchase of anabolic steroids, rather than depositing the checks
28 as normal business proceeds.

1 COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2--Money Laundering;
Aiding and Abetting)

2 62. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
3 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

4 63. On or about June 4, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
5 California, the defendants

6 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
7 JAMES VALENTE

8 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by depositing
9 a check in the amount of \$960 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact
10 involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and
11 possess with intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the
12 transaction was designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source,
13 ownership, and control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the
14 money involved in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful
15 activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

16 COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2--Money Laundering;
Aiding and Abetting)

17 64. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
18 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

19 65. On or about August 20, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20 California, the defendants

21 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
22 JAMES VALENTE

23 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting commerce, to wit: by depositing a check
24 in the amount of \$1,700 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact involved
25 the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
26 intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
27 designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and
28 control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved

1 in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
2 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

3 COUNT THIRTY-NINE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2--Money Laundering;
4 Aiding and Abetting)

5 66. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
6 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

7 67. On or about September 27, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8 California, the defendants

9 VICTOR CONTE, JR., and
JAMES VALENTE

10 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by depositing
11 a check in the amount of \$1,250 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact
12 involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and
13 possess with intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the
14 transaction was designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source,
15 ownership, and control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the
16 money involved in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful
17 activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

18 COUNT FORTY: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2; – Money Laundering;
19 Willfully Causing)

20 68. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
21 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

22 69. On or about December 5, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
23 California, the defendant

24 GREG ANDERSON

25 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by willfully
26 causing another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,000, which in fact involved the
27 proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
28 intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and

1 control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved
2 in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
3 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

4 COUNT FORTY-ONE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2– Money Laundering;
5 Willfully Causing)

6 70. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
7 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

8 71. On or about December 17, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
9 California, the defendant

10 GREG ANDERSON

11 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by willfully
12 causing another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$730, which in fact involved the
13 proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
14 intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
15 designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and
16 control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved
17 in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
18 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

19 COUNT FORTY-TWO: (18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2– Money Laundering;
20 Willfully Causing)

21 72. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
22 realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

23 73. On or about April 8, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24 California, the defendant

25 GREG ANDERSON

26 did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by causing
27 another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,200, which in fact involved the proceeds of
28 a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to
distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was designed

1 at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the
2 proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved in such
3 financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in violation of
4 Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

5 CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (21 U.S.C. §§ 853 and 881(a)--Drug Forfeiture)

6 74. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts One
7 through Seven of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.

8 75. As a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Seven above, defendants

9
10 VICTOR CONTE, JR.
11 JAMES VALENTE,
12 GREG ANDERSON, and
13 REMI KORCHEMNY

14 shall forfeit to the United States all right, title and interest in property constituting and derived
15 from any proceeds, the defendants obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of said violations,
16 and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the
17 commission of the said violations, including but not limited to the following property:

- 18 a. approximately \$63,920 in United States currency seized at the residence of
19 Greg Anderson on September 3, 2003;
20 b. a sum of money equal to the total amount of proceeds defendants derived
21 from the commission of said offenses.

22 76. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property

- 23 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
24 b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with, a third person;
25 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
26 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
27 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
28 difficulty;

any and all interest defendants have in any other property (not to exceed the value of the above
forfeitable property) shall be forfeited to the United States.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 853(a)(1), (p), and 881(a) and

1 Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

2 CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION :(18 U.S.C. § 982--Money Laundering Forfeiture)

3 77. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts Thirty-Six
4 through Forty-two of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.

5 78. As a result of a conviction of the offenses alleged in Counts Thirty-Six through Forty-
6 Two above, the defendants

7 VICTOR CONTE JR.,
8 JAMES VALENTE, and
9 GREG ANDERSON

10 shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), all
11 right, title and interest in property, real and personal, involved in said violation, or any property
12 traceable to such property, including but not limited to the following:

- 13 a. all commissions, fees and other property constituting proceeds of said offense;
14 b. all property used in any manner to commit or facilitate the commission of said
15 offense;
16 c. a sum of money equal to the total amount of money involved in the
17 commission of said offense.

18 79. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of said property

- 19 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
20 b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with, a third person;
21 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
22 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
23 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
24 difficulty;

25
26
27
28

1 any and all interest defendants have in any other property, up to value of the property described in
2 paragraph 2 above, shall be forfeited to the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States
3 Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

4 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982, 1956(h), and Rule 32.2 of
5 the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

6 DATED: A TRUE BILL.
7

8 FOREPERSON

9 KEVIN V. RYAN
United States Attorney

10 

11 ROSS W. NADEL
12 Chief, Criminal Division

13 (Approved as to form: AUSA NEDROW)
14

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28